



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/039,789	03/16/1998	EDWARD LAWRENCE CARVER JR.	4537-01-2	9998

7590 02/15/2002

ATTN: ANITA LOMARTRA
700 STATE STREET, GRANITE SQUARE
P.O. BOX 1960
NEW HAVEN, CT 065091960

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

SODERQUIST, ARLEN

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

1743

DATE MAILED: 02/15/2002

29

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

T.D-29

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/039,789	Applicant(s) Carver Jr. et al.
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Group Art Unit 1743

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Arlen Soderquist

(3) _____

(2) Mark D. Girratana

(4) _____

Date of Interview Feb 14, 2002

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: all in general

Identification of prior art discussed:

the applied art.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant urged that the art of record failed to provide motivation for modifying the primary references to change the volumes of lysing agent added. discussed the showing that different animal bloods are known to react differently with lysing agents. in particular the Halliday reference was pointed to by examiner since there is a clear showing that a concentration effect was able to change the response of the counting apparatus. in looking at the Halliday reference examiner pointed out that there are two different things listed: the particle counter and a diluter. discussed the possibility that this means there were two separate devices and the diluter was modified to perform the modified lysing agent mixing method. Will consider applicant's response when filed.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

ARLEN SODERQUIST
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.